

Number of Votes Cast by All Voters & Subgroups, Vote Share of Blacks, and Turnout Rates for All Voters & Subgroups, 2014 vs. 2010																												
	Votes Cast 2014 vs 2010	Cast by Whites	Cast by Blacks	% Vote from Blacks	Cast by His-panics	By Demo-crats	By Repub-licans	By Unaf-iliated	ALL TURN-OUT	Turn-out of Whites	Turn-out of Blacks	Of His-panics	Of Demo-crats	Of Repub-lican	Of Unaf-iliated	Of Men	Of Women	Of Age 18-25	Of Age 66 & Up	Of Black Wom.	Of White Men	Of Black Dem.	Of White Dem.	Of White Rep.	Of Dem. Wom.	Of Rep. Men		
1	TOTAL NC	2,937,949	2,186,507	629,179	21%	26,086	1,275,369	1,015,772	639,515	44.3%	46.8%	42.2%	20.5%	46.1%	50.5%	35.1%	44.3%	44.7%	17.8%	63.3%	46.4%	48.1%	44.8%	49.7%	51.6%	47.5%	51.5%	TOTAL
2	2010	2,700,383	2,073,655	541,739	20%	15,683	1,231,562	985,101	480,559	43.7%	46.0%	40.6%	20.0%	44.7%	50.5%	33.0%	44.4%	43.4%	17.5%	60.5%	43.9%	47.8%	43.1%	47.7%	51.7%	45.1%	51.8%	2010
3	ALAMANCE	44,702	34,376	8,775	20%	421	18,874	17,179	8,537	46.9%	49.5%	45.5%	23.4%	47.9%	54.6%	35.4%	47.9%	47.2%	17.1%	64.7%	48.9%	51.3%	47.9%	51.1%	55.7%	49.1%	56.3%	ALAMANCE
4	2010	42,047	33,176	7,898	19%	247	18,947	16,466	6,575	46.7%	48.6%	44.0%	22.6%	47.3%	54.2%	33.8%	48.0%	45.9%	17.7%	61.0%	47.1%	51.1%	46.2%	49.5%	55.1%	47.3%	55.6%	2010
5	ALEXANDER	12,068	11,490	438	4%	46	3,574	5,931	2,553	49.9%	50.9%	42.3%	23.6%	49.7%	56.0%	40.2%	50.6%	49.5%	23.3%	66.8%	46.5%	51.8%	44.7%	51.3%	56.3%	49.5%	55.7%	ALEXANDER
6	2010	12,685	12,137	445	4%	40	4,153	6,219	2,300	53.0%	54.0%	41.2%	30.8%	51.8%	59.1%	42.9%	53.9%	52.3%	27.1%	66.6%	44.2%	55.1%	42.7%	53.7%	59.4%	51.2%	59.7%	2010
7	ALLEGHANY	4,349	4,242	47	1%	29	1,825	1,581	934	60.0%	60.9%	58.0%	22.3%	61.0%	66.5%	50.5%	61.3%	59.1%	29.0%	70.2%	57.5%	62.2%	61.4%	61.6%	67.1%	61.4%	69.6%	ALLEGHANY
8	2010	4,159	4,088	42	1%	15	2,013	1,451	691	56.8%	57.6%	53.8%	17.0%	58.2%	63.0%	44.7%	58.2%	55.8%	26.7%	66.7%	52.6%	59.0%	54.9%	58.9%	63.5%	56.7%	64.0%	2010
9	ANSON	6,805	3,297	3,031	45%	6	5,148	966	689	39.3%	42.6%	39.4%	12.5%	41.2%	41.7%	27.6%	38.8%	42.1%	15.6%	53.8%	44.0%	44.2%	40.9%	46.9%	44.6%	44.8%	41.1%	ANSON
10	2010	6,828	3,720	3,026	44%	1	5,312	960	553	41.7%	45.3%	38.7%	3.7%	43.7%	42.1%	28.5%	40.3%	42.6%	19.2%	54.0%	41.8%	46.4%	40.4%	49.8%	44.7%	44.9%	40.6%	2010
11	ASHE	9,777	9,621	31	0%	30	3,324	4,466	1,970	52.6%	52.9%	31.6%	23.4%	55.0%	56.9%	42.3%	53.3%	52.0%	20.8%	66.2%	28.6%	53.6%	30.4%	55.5%	57.1%	54.6%	58.1%	ASHE
12	2010	9,787	9,660	36	0%	21	3,633	4,529	1,612	47.3%	47.5%	33.3%	22.3%	48.0%	52.0%	36.7%	48.0%	46.7%	22.9%	56.9%	45.8%	48.3%	35.1%	48.4%	52.2%	47.5%	52.8%	2010
13	AVERY	5,153	5,070	10	0%	7	570	3,510	1,066	42.3%	43.0%	10.2%	10.4%	36.1%	48.0%	32.8%	44.1%	40.9%	17.1%	55.9%	8.9%	44.8%	4.2%	37.7%	48.2%	35.9%	49.7%	AVERY
14	2010	5,114	5,044	7	0%	7	603	3,567	940	43.9%	44.3%	11.7%	13.7%	37.6%	49.0%	34.0%	45.1%	42.8%	17.4%	56.4%	7.7%	45.5%	10.7%	38.4%	49.2%	35.7%	49.5%	2010
15	BEAUFORT	17,987	13,522	4,136	23%	50	8,207	6,120	3,634	54.9%	58.1%	48.4%	20.7%	55.2%	59.6%	48.3%	54.6%	55.5%	22.9%	71.1%	52.0%	59.1%	51.1%	60.3%	60.9%	56.4%	59.8%	BEAUFORT
16	2010	16,682	13,035	3,464	21%	28	8,299	5,639	2,732	52.4%	56.8%	41.8%	19.4%	51.6%	58.4%	44.8%	53.4%	51.7%	22.1%	64.0%	44.6%	58.9%	44.3%	58.6%	59.7%	51.6%	59.3%	2010
17	BERTIE	6,506	2,723	3,607	55%	3	5,127	611	767	45.1%	53.2%	41.5%	10.7%	47.1%	42.8%	36.4%	42.8%	47.6%	17.5%	58.2%	45.9%	55.1%	43.6%	58.6%	48.8%	49.8%	44.2%	BERTIE
18	2010	6,674	2,951	3,590	54%	6	5,463	594	615	47.2%	55.9%	42.5%	27.3%	48.9%	42.6%	39.5%	45.5%	48.7%	21.9%	55.5%	45.6%	57.0%	44.3%	61.1%	49.5%	50.6%	43.2%	2010
19	BLADEN	11,707	6,989	4,434	38%	55	7,965	1,477	2,257	51.4%	52.5%	52.3%	21.2%	54.3%	49.4%	44.3%	50.6%	52.2%	27.4%	66.0%	56.0%	54.1%	54.7%	55.9%	51.8%	56.0%	51.6%	BLADEN
20	2010	12,711	7,640	4,821	38%	30	9,377	1,419	1,909	56.5%	57.2%	58.0%	21.7%	60.2%	50.4%	46.8%	55.8%	57.3%	35.3%	68.8%	61.0%	58.4%	60.6%	61.4%	52.9%	61.0%	50.9%	2010
21	BRUNSWICK	41,170	36,234	4,040	10%	113	12,433	16,879	11,779	47.8%	48.6%	46.4%	15.3%	46.0%	53.7%	42.9%	48.8%	47.1%	12.6%	66.5%	51.3%	50.3%	48.8%	45.7%	54.4%	47.0%	54.9%	BRUNSWICK
22	2010	40,462	35,819	3,931	10%	149	13,389	17,106	9,927	52.2%	52.9%	48.9%	29.9%	48.6%	59.6%	46.7%	53.1%	51.4%	18.7%	66.6%	52.6%	54.5%	51.0%	48.3%	60.2%	49.2%	60.3%	2010
23	BUNCOMBE	87,274	79,613	4,185	5%	588	39,266	23,508	24,247	46.7%	48.2%	37.6%	27.3%	51.8%	49.8%	38.6%	46.4%	47.2%	20.1%	64.5%	42.1%	48.2%	40.6%	54.2%	50.4%	52.4%	50.2%	BUNCOMBE
24	2010	80,565	74,236	3,910	5%	371	38,018	24,499	17,948	45.7%	46.9%	38.0%	27.1%	49.5%	50.9%	35.2%	46.4%	45.4%	18.6%	60.7%	40.8%	47.8%	41.2%	51.3%	51.5%	49.3%	51.8%	2010
25	BURKE	24,975	23,058	1,567	6%	86	9,402	9,977	5,549	43.2%	44.3%	44.7%	18.0%	45.7%	49.6%	32.9%	44.0%	42.7%	17.2%	59.8%	49.7%	45.6%	48.4%	46.6%	50.3%	45.8%	50.8%	BURKE
26	2010	23,165	21,635	1,296	6%	53	9,542	9,332	4,265	40.5%	41.5%	37.7%	16.6%	42.1%	46.4%	29.6%	41.8%	39.3%	14.8%	55.1%	40.1%	43.3%	40.6%	43.3%	47.2%	41.3%	48.0%	2010
27	CABARRUS	52,126	41,039	8,991	17%	617	17,025	23,035	11,921	42.7%	43.7%	44.6%	21.9%	42.8%	49.4%	34.1%	43.4%	42.5%	16.3%	61.4%	48.1%	45.3%	46.7%	41.8%	50.1%	43.6%	50.3%	CABARRUS
28	2010	48,143	39,813	6,929	14%	399	16,287	22,776	9,011	43.7%	45.0%	42.0%	23.0%	42.2%	51.9%	32.8%	45.4%	42.6%	18.4%	59.7%	44.3%	47.4%	44.0%	42.5%	52.6%	42.0%	53.3%	2010
29	CALDWELL	21,213	19,765	1,109	5%	82	5,888	11,009	4,260	39.6%	40.1%	38.7%	15.2%	36.7%	46.1%	31.8%	40.4%	38.9%	14.5%	55.0%	44.3%	41.4%	40.6%	36.6%	46.4%	37.0%	47.1%	CALDWELL
30	2010	22,360	21,095	966	4%	71	7,177	11,583	3,559	42.2%	42.9%	35.1%	22.1%	39.9%	48.7%	31.8%	43.5%	41.0%	17.1%	56.0%	38.4%	44.6%	36.6%	40.9%	49.0%	39.2%	50.0%	2010
31	CAMDEN	3,322	2,710	543	16%	11	1,394	893	1,023	44.8%	44.6%	49.1%	35.5%	50.1%	43.1%	40.4%	43.5%	46.1%	21.1%	61.5%	57.6%	44.7%	53.1%	49.6%	43.7%	53.7%	42.7%	CAMDEN
32	2010	3,196	2,622	522	16%	7	1,568	823	803	43.5%	43.6%	45.5%	29.2%	49.4%	42.2%	36.4%	42.7%	44.3%	16.0%	59.5%	53.0%	44.0%	48.0%	50.4%	43.0%	51.7%	42.5%	2010
33	CARTERET	25,281	23,588	1,116	4%	82	6,801	12,069	6,354	49.6%	50.9%	40.5%	23.4%	49.1%	57.4%	40.0%	50.4%	49.2%	15.4%	68.5%	47.6%	52.1%	44.5%	50.8%	58.0%	50.1%	58.5%	CARTERET
34	2010	23,428	22,145	869	4%	47	7,259	11,288	4,855	49.0%	50.3%	33.6%	21.7%	47.0%	58.0%	37.8%	50.8%	47.6%	16.4%	65.1%	36.7%	52.4%	36.7%	49.2%	58.4%	45.9%	59.2%	2010
35	CASWELL	7,217	4,328	2,760	38%	22	4,370	1,640	1,198	46.5%	45.0%	50.4%	28.2%	50.1%	47.6%	36.1%	47.4%	46.2%	23.1%	57.6%	53.7%	48.6%	52.7%	47.5%	48.5%	50.7%	49.4%	CASWELL
36	2010	7,191	4,387	2,719	38%	13	4,705	1,482	996	47.1%	46.5%	48.9%	26.5%	50.5%	47.0%	35.6%	47.3%	47.1%	20.7%	56.4%	52.4%	49.4%	51.2%	50.2%	48.1%	51.4%	48.4%	2010

		Votes Cast 2014 vs 2010	Cast by Whites	Cast by Blacks	% Vote from Blacks	Cast by His- panics	By Demo- crats	By Repub- licans	By Unaf- filiated	ALL TURN- OUT	Turn- out of Whites	Turn- out of Blacks	Of His- panics	Of Demo- crats	Of Repub- lican	Of Unaf- filiated	Of Men	Of Wom- en	Of Age 18-25	Of Age 66 & Up	Of Black Wom.	Of White Men	Of Black Dem.	Of White Dem.	Of White Rep.	Of Dem. Wom.	Of Rep. Men	
37	CATAWBA	44,482	39,686	3,795	9%	288	11,549	22,951	9,890	43.6%	45.5%	40.4%	16.7%	39.9%	52.4%	34.2%	44.4%	43.1%	14.8%	61.8%	45.8%	47.1%	42.9%	40.9%	53.2%	40.9%	53.5%	CATAWBA
38	2010	41,867	37,832	3,321	8%	179	11,692	22,385	7,762	40.6%	41.9%	37.9%	16.8%	36.7%	49.4%	30.1%	42.3%	39.4%	14.3%	57.2%	41.7%	44.2%	40.6%	36.9%	50.1%	36.6%	51.1%	2010
39	CHATHAM	27,909	23,014	3,569	13%	281	12,900	7,216	7,731	58.9%	60.6%	56.5%	31.5%	63.2%	59.2%	53.1%	59.1%	59.5%	24.3%	74.6%	61.1%	61.3%	59.6%	66.3%	59.9%	64.7%	60.3%	CHATHAM
40	2010	24,743	20,534	3,348	14%	172	12,312	6,615	5,788	58.1%	59.5%	54.8%	33.7%	61.0%	59.7%	51.4%	59.0%	57.7%	25.1%	71.4%	59.3%	61.4%	57.4%	63.5%	60.1%	61.5%	61.2%	2010
41	CHEROKEE	9,722	9,481	59	1%	36	2,893	4,620	2,182	44.4%	44.7%	26.6%	30.0%	43.4%	51.4%	35.3%	45.3%	43.6%	21.1%	53.8%	33.0%	45.8%	31.7%	43.9%	51.5%	42.3%	52.3%	CHEROKEE
42	2010	10,212	10,003	50	0%	29	3,513	4,700	1,988	48.4%	48.8%	24.0%	35.8%	48.1%	54.1%	39.1%	49.6%	47.3%	23.5%	55.2%	24.5%	50.1%	27.0%	48.8%	54.2%	47.0%	55.3%	2010
43	CHOWAN	5,316	3,929	1,289	24%	18	2,704	1,411	1,194	50.4%	56.4%	38.9%	43.9%	49.8%	55.3%	46.8%	49.3%	51.5%	20.9%	65.2%	44.0%	57.2%	41.6%	60.1%	57.4%	51.8%	54.3%	CHOWAN
44	2010	5,415	4,097	1,238	23%	9	2,956	1,384	1,068	51.1%	58.5%	36.8%	34.6%	49.8%	56.7%	48.5%	50.3%	52.0%	25.1%	60.8%	41.7%	59.5%	39.3%	60.9%	58.9%	51.7%	55.6%	2010
45	CLAY	4,634	4,574	4	0%	8	1,226	2,084	1,315	51.8%	52.5%	9.8%	20.0%	49.4%	60.5%	44.1%	52.8%	51.1%	22.3%	65.1%	13.6%	53.4%	9.5%	50.3%	60.8%	48.0%	61.1%	CLAY
46	2010	5,065	4,990	9	0%	9	1,578	2,093	1,386	57.3%	57.6%	30.0%	31.0%	55.6%	62.7%	52.2%	58.6%	56.1%	30.0%	68.1%	31.6%	58.9%	31.3%	56.0%	62.8%	53.4%	63.0%	2010
47	CLEVELAND	28,164	21,292	6,504	23%	102	13,956	9,146	5,015	45.4%	45.6%	47.5%	22.6%	49.1%	47.8%	34.9%	46.0%	45.2%	19.8%	61.1%	52.3%	48.1%	50.0%	49.4%	48.6%	49.8%	49.2%	CLEVELAND
48	2010	27,008	21,373	5,375	20%	71	14,415	8,570	4,000	44.0%	45.3%	41.9%	21.8%	47.5%	45.9%	32.5%	45.8%	42.7%	17.1%	59.8%	44.7%	48.5%	44.2%	50.4%	46.6%	46.7%	47.9%	2010
49	COLUMBUS	15,257	10,054	4,658	31%	24	10,429	2,615	2,202	41.0%	42.5%	39.7%	14.0%	44.6%	42.0%	29.3%	40.8%	41.3%	17.8%	55.7%	43.3%	44.5%	43.0%	46.8%	43.5%	45.4%	42.9%	COLUMBUS
50	2010	16,803	11,633	4,712	28%	22	12,068	2,750	1,975	45.6%	48.5%	41.3%	21.8%	48.8%	47.0%	31.5%	45.0%	46.0%	20.7%	59.8%	44.7%	49.9%	44.4%	53.2%	48.7%	49.6%	46.2%	2010
51	CRAVEN	30,923	23,725	6,286	20%	200	12,105	11,897	6,867	44.4%	47.6%	39.1%	17.2%	45.7%	50.9%	35.3%	45.4%	44.0%	13.6%	67.2%	43.3%	50.1%	42.5%	51.2%	52.2%	47.1%	53.2%	CRAVEN
52	2010	28,188	22,215	5,364	19%	141	11,696	11,050	5,416	41.8%	45.0%	34.9%	16.9%	41.6%	48.3%	33.2%	43.3%	40.7%	12.9%	60.8%	37.7%	47.8%	37.4%	46.8%	49.6%	41.7%	50.2%	2010
53	CUMBERLAND	76,578	37,504	33,728	44%	1,538	42,523	20,070	13,844	37.4%	39.2%	39.9%	16.5%	41.7%	41.7%	25.7%	36.7%	38.7%	13.0%	61.5%	43.5%	41.0%	43.0%	45.1%	44.1%	43.9%	42.7%	CUMBERLAND
54	2010	69,644	36,921	28,636	41%	1,091	39,748	19,187	10,644	35.7%	38.0%	36.7%	14.5%	39.4%	39.8%	23.1%	34.9%	36.6%	11.6%	60.2%	39.9%	39.6%	39.7%	43.9%	42.4%	41.0%	40.5%	2010
55	CURRITUCK	7,719	7,085	443	6%	27	2,122	2,888	2,687	43.6%	43.9%	44.3%	22.1%	46.5%	48.2%	38.1%	44.6%	42.9%	15.9%	61.8%	47.0%	45.2%	47.6%	46.7%	48.4%	44.8%	48.8%	CURRITUCK
56	2010	7,953	7,312	450	6%	25	2,829	2,750	2,358	47.9%	48.3%	46.5%	25.8%	53.2%	51.3%	40.0%	47.9%	48.0%	22.2%	60.9%	50.5%	48.6%	51.5%	54.0%	51.7%	53.0%	51.0%	2010
57	DARE	13,041	12,515	271	2%	49	4,907	4,203	3,886	46.5%	47.0%	47.7%	28.5%	50.8%	50.7%	39.1%	46.2%	46.9%	13.4%	63.4%	57.5%	46.8%	54.5%	51.3%	51.1%	49.9%	49.7%	DARE
58	2010	12,157	11,741	206	2%	37	5,030	3,923	3,186	45.4%	45.9%	39.9%	30.8%	48.6%	49.6%	37.7%	46.2%	44.8%	15.3%	58.9%	45.8%	46.8%	44.2%	49.3%	49.9%	46.7%	49.2%	2010
59	DAVIDSON	43,286	38,469	4,149	10%	179	11,922	23,458	7,815	41.5%	42.2%	42.3%	16.2%	40.1%	49.1%	29.7%	42.7%	40.7%	15.8%	59.2%	46.7%	44.0%	45.0%	39.3%	49.5%	40.7%	50.1%	DAVIDSON
60	2010	41,984	37,676	3,881	9%	123	12,649	22,973	6,293	41.2%	41.7%	41.6%	15.9%	38.6%	48.8%	28.6%	42.7%	39.9%	15.3%	58.1%	46.0%	44.0%	44.1%	37.8%	49.2%	38.7%	50.3%	2010
61	DAVIE	13,958	12,841	872	6%	66	2,617	8,257	3,059	48.8%	49.9%	44.7%	21.5%	43.6%	55.4%	40.1%	51.0%	47.0%	17.4%	66.6%	49.4%	52.7%	46.5%	44.0%	55.8%	44.1%	57.9%	DAVIE
62	2010	14,133	13,161	848	6%	46	2,908	8,716	2,494	52.7%	53.6%	47.6%	22.9%	46.4%	59.8%	41.9%	53.9%	51.6%	21.9%	69.1%	53.5%	55.5%	50.5%	45.8%	60.2%	47.0%	61.2%	2010
63	DUPLIN	12,839	8,548	3,995	31%	105	6,678	3,553	2,587	43.6%	45.7%	43.5%	11.6%	44.2%	47.0%	38.5%	43.6%	44.1%	18.5%	59.2%	47.5%	48.0%	45.7%	45.0%	48.9%	46.3%	48.4%	DUPLIN
64	2010	13,404	9,055	4,118	31%	74	7,729	3,406	2,261	47.2%	48.9%	46.6%	14.3%	49.2%	49.2%	39.6%	47.4%	47.6%	20.9%	60.8%	51.3%	51.7%	49.0%	50.9%	51.2%	50.9%	51.4%	2010
65	DURHAM	93,510	51,166	35,933	38%	1,326	59,354	12,607	21,293	44.5%	48.7%	44.1%	24.4%	48.7%	44.5%	36.1%	43.1%	46.7%	20.3%	64.7%	48.1%	49.0%	46.5%	56.1%	46.4%	50.9%	45.6%	DURHAM
66	2010	81,477	45,016	32,151	39%	790	53,573	12,811	14,973	49.1%	51.4%	49.8%	27.7%	53.0%	50.1%	38.1%	47.8%	50.6%	23.0%	69.3%	53.7%	52.5%	51.9%	57.7%	52.0%	54.9%	51.8%	2010
67	EDGECOMBE	17,098	6,631	10,270	60%	35	13,324	2,561	1,192	43.8%	46.2%	43.1%	14.8%	46.3%	42.4%	28.3%	40.6%	46.4%	18.7%	61.9%	48.2%	48.3%	45.3%	51.7%	45.7%	49.5%	42.7%	EDGECOMBE
68	2010	16,215	6,771	9,279	57%	19	12,996	2,326	884	43.2%	46.6%	41.4%	19.6%	45.7%	40.5%	26.1%	41.0%	45.0%	17.1%	57.7%	45.3%	48.3%	43.6%	52.3%	44.2%	47.8%	41.2%	2010
69	FORSYTH	109,203	76,581	28,847	26%	1,055	47,897	39,719	21,340	44.3%	47.2%	41.9%	18.2%	45.0%	51.9%	33.9%	44.5%	44.7%	16.9%	63.0%	45.9%	49.1%	44.5%	48.6%	53.1%	46.9%	53.6%	FORSYTH
70	2010	96,583	71,963	22,411	23%	558	42,066	39,110	15,304	42.5%	46.3%	36.4%	17.0%	40.7%	52.3%	31.5%	43.5%	42.1%	15.0%	60.1%	38.9%	48.5%	38.3%	45.3%	53.3%	41.3%	53.7%	2010
71	FRANKLIN	20,045	13,912	5,549	28%	116	9,712	6,535	3,747	49.2%	50.3%	49.5%	19.8%	51.6%	53.6%	39.3%	48.4%	50.2%	19.4%	66.5%	55.1%	51.6%	51.8%	53.1%	54.6%	53.8%	54.8%	FRANKLIN
72	2010	17,643	12,188	5,078	29%	89	9,355	5,779	2,482	47.4%	47.3%	49.2%	22.6%	49.6%	51.8%	34.6%	47.3%	47.6%	17.4%	64.2%	53.7%	49.3%	51.7%	48.5%	52.9%	51.0%	53.3%	2010
73	GASTON	55,117	44,353	9,026	16%	441	19,375	24,894	10,701	41.0%	41.8%	41.6%	20.5%	41.3%	48.6%	29.9%	42.2%	40.3%	15.6%	57.9%	45.7%	44.2%	43.6%	41.3%	49.1%	41.8%	50.5%	GASTON
74	2010	50,057	42,172	6,919	14%	247	18,170	23,540	8,296	39.1%	40.2%	36.5%	18.7%	37.8%	47.2%	27.6%	41.1%	37.6%	14.3%	51.1%	39.0%	43.0%	38.4%	38.5%	47.7%	37.2%	49.2%	2010

		Votes Cast 2014 vs 2010	Cast by Whites	Cast by Blacks	% Vote from Blacks	Cast by His- panics	By Demo- crats	By Repub- licans	By Unaf- filiated	ALL TURN- OUT	Turn- out of Whites	Turn- out of Blacks	Of His- panics	Of Demo- crats	Of Repub- lican	Of Unaf- filiated	Of Men	Of Wom- en	Of Age 18-25	Of Age 66 & Up	Of Black Wom.	Of White Men	Of Black Dem.	Of White Dem.	Of White Rep.	Of Dem. Wom.	Of Rep. Men	
75	GATES	3,444	2,084	1,237	36%	6	2,250	600	592	40.9%	40.5%	42.1%	23.1%	45.6%	38.1%	31.3%	40.8%	41.0%	16.5%	52.1%	45.6%	43.0%	44.2%	47.9%	38.7%	46.1%	37.7%	GATES
76	2010	3,391	2,171	1,135	33%	4	2,314	574	502	41.8%	43.0%	40.6%	26.7%	46.4%	38.4%	31.0%	42.5%	41.8%	20.4%	49.7%	42.4%	44.5%	43.0%	50.8%	40.1%	46.5%	38.8%	2010
77	GRAHAM	3,580	3,382	1	0%	6	1,235	1,680	656	53.7%	54.3%	33.3%	13.3%	53.7%	59.4%	42.9%	52.9%	54.5%	33.5%	65.2%	0.0%	53.7%	33.3%	54.3%	59.8%	53.8%	59.0%	GRAHAM
78	2010	3,916	3,724	0	0%	8	1,502	1,800	609	59.7%	60.3%	0.0%	19.5%	60.8%	64.6%	46.8%	59.6%	59.4%	39.1%	69.6%	0.0%	60.4%	0.0%	61.2%	65.0%	60.4%	65.5%	2010
79	GRANVILLE	16,542	10,039	6,020	36%	109	9,822	3,901	2,789	44.8%	43.8%	48.6%	23.0%	49.4%	44.9%	33.8%	44.2%	45.7%	18.2%	62.0%	53.5%	46.1%	50.9%	48.8%	45.6%	51.5%	46.5%	GRANVILLE
80	2010	14,966	9,508	5,112	34%	73	9,171	3,801	1,977	44.0%	44.1%	45.0%	25.1%	46.6%	46.8%	32.2%	44.8%	43.7%	16.2%	59.5%	48.2%	47.3%	47.1%	47.0%	47.9%	47.2%	49.1%	2010
81	GREENE	5,898	3,722	2,105	36%	26	3,967	927	999	52.2%	56.6%	48.6%	11.5%	55.5%	49.1%	44.7%	51.0%	53.4%	24.2%	66.6%	53.3%	58.1%	51.3%	62.4%	51.8%	57.5%	51.5%	GREENE
82	2010	5,655	3,471	2,132	38%	25	4,160	785	708	51.7%	53.1%	51.0%	20.7%	56.0%	45.5%	40.0%	50.8%	52.4%	24.4%	65.7%	55.4%	55.3%	53.9%	59.0%	48.4%	57.3%	46.8%	2010
83	GUILFORD	164,733	106,738	51,458	31%	1,339	78,787	53,823	31,711	46.1%	51.1%	41.9%	22.1%	46.3%	56.1%	35.3%	45.6%	46.8%	19.0%	66.0%	45.4%	52.6%	44.4%	52.8%	57.8%	48.2%	57.1%	GUILFORD
84	2010	140,251	95,877	40,812	29%	709	68,188	50,271	21,595	40.1%	43.4%	36.3%	20.0%	39.4%	49.6%	28.8%	40.6%	39.7%	17.0%	55.3%	38.8%	45.6%	38.4%	42.7%	50.9%	40.2%	50.9%	2010
85	HALIFAX	17,554	7,846	8,883	51%	42	12,958	1,732	2,850	46.1%	51.8%	43.2%	20.7%	48.8%	39.5%	40.1%	44.1%	47.9%	19.0%	61.6%	47.5%	54.5%	45.9%	59.2%	42.9%	51.2%	41.5%	HALIFAX
86	2010	14,592	6,579	7,447	51%	30	11,387	1,744	1,455	40.8%	43.6%	39.7%	28.0%	43.7%	37.2%	29.1%	40.2%	41.2%	14.4%	53.7%	42.7%	47.0%	42.3%	48.7%	41.0%	44.5%	38.6%	2010
87	HARNETT	27,313	20,072	6,162	23%	340	11,829	10,723	4,663	39.1%	41.2%	38.3%	16.2%	41.9%	45.2%	26.6%	39.5%	38.8%	16.3%	59.7%	42.0%	43.1%	41.5%	45.1%	46.6%	42.5%	46.3%	HARNETT
88	2010	26,197	20,020	5,400	21%	228	12,396	10,171	3,570	41.8%	44.2%	38.1%	18.3%	43.9%	48.2%	27.0%	42.7%	41.1%	18.0%	58.3%	40.5%	46.2%	41.3%	47.7%	49.7%	43.9%	49.1%	2010
89	HAYWOOD	19,126	18,696	194	1%	58	9,174	5,836	4,082	45.1%	45.4%	45.2%	22.6%	49.7%	47.4%	35.6%	46.7%	44.0%	17.1%	59.9%	47.8%	47.0%	50.8%	49.9%	47.7%	48.5%	48.9%	HAYWOOD
90	2010	20,544	20,171	168	1%	49	10,721	6,075	3,735	48.9%	49.3%	40.9%	23.4%	53.2%	50.6%	38.1%	50.5%	47.7%	20.8%	61.3%	42.1%	50.9%	44.9%	53.5%	50.9%	51.9%	52.0%	2010
91	HENDERSON	36,577	34,823	803	2%	245	8,186	16,429	11,858	46.5%	47.6%	35.3%	19.4%	44.9%	53.4%	40.4%	47.8%	45.5%	15.8%	62.8%	38.4%	49.1%	39.3%	46.5%	54.0%	44.8%	54.5%	HENDERSON
92	2010	36,624	35,152	677	2%	155	8,384	17,863	10,341	47.9%	48.9%	32.3%	18.0%	43.5%	56.3%	40.7%	49.1%	46.9%	17.6%	62.7%	35.4%	50.2%	36.4%	44.7%	56.8%	42.7%	56.8%	2010
93	HERTFORD	6,480	2,281	4,054	63%	10	5,212	561	706	43.2%	44.8%	43.2%	18.2%	45.8%	38.2%	33.5%	41.3%	44.9%	14.6%	59.1%	46.6%	47.0%	45.1%	49.7%	40.7%	47.6%	38.7%	HERTFORD
94	2010	6,715	2,631	3,958	59%	5	5,518	736	461	45.2%	47.9%	44.0%	14.7%	47.8%	41.7%	29.8%	44.7%	45.7%	21.1%	54.0%	45.8%	50.1%	45.9%	53.8%	45.1%	48.7%	45.8%	2010
95	HOKE	10,513	4,519	4,977	47%	232	6,394	2,082	2,004	34.6%	32.4%	42.0%	16.3%	41.8%	32.5%	23.3%	33.4%	35.5%	14.1%	56.7%	46.1%	34.2%	45.8%	41.2%	34.0%	43.7%	34.0%	HOKE
96	2010	8,478	3,970	3,795	45%	143	5,317	1,751	1,401	32.0%	31.7%	36.9%	14.6%	37.2%	31.9%	20.8%	31.6%	32.3%	10.5%	54.1%	40.5%	34.3%	40.4%	38.0%	34.3%	38.6%	33.5%	2010
97	HYDE	1,831	1,401	404	22%	4	1,254	244	329	52.5%	55.1%	46.4%	26.7%	55.8%	49.1%	44.6%	52.2%	53.0%	27.6%	59.9%	50.0%	55.8%	49.2%	59.9%	49.9%	55.4%	48.2%	HYDE
98	2010	1,715	1,310	381	22%	4	1,262	236	216	47.2%	50.4%	39.2%	44.4%	49.2%	47.7%	37.8%	47.7%	47.1%	17.6%	52.0%	42.0%	51.8%	41.7%	53.5%	49.1%	49.3%	49.0%	2010
99	IREDELL	50,990	43,981	5,619	11%	419	14,303	24,578	11,982	45.6%	47.3%	41.7%	22.0%	44.2%	53.6%	36.1%	45.9%	45.7%	16.2%	66.1%	46.9%	48.3%	44.8%	45.7%	54.4%	45.5%	54.2%	IREDELL
100	2010	43,258	37,849	4,544	11%	232	13,569	21,742	7,902	42.1%	43.6%	37.3%	19.5%	39.9%	51.0%	30.3%	43.4%	41.2%	14.6%	61.3%	40.8%	45.5%	40.1%	41.1%	51.8%	39.9%	52.3%	2010
101	JACKSON	11,007	10,196	105	1%	54	5,215	2,951	2,816	41.2%	44.8%	21.5%	22.2%	49.0%	43.8%	30.4%	43.8%	41.2%	13.0%	61.4%	23.3%	46.3%	23.0%	52.2%	45.3%	48.4%	45.5%	JACKSON
102	2010	11,409	10,659	115	1%	41	5,767	3,109	2,521	43.5%	45.9%	24.4%	24.0%	49.7%	45.2%	32.8%	45.7%	42.2%	16.9%	60.2%	27.1%	48.0%	27.7%	52.4%	46.6%	48.4%	47.1%	2010
103	JOHNSTON	50,202	40,408	8,024	16%	412	17,931	21,892	10,207	44.9%	46.4%	44.2%	16.7%	46.6%	51.6%	33.6%	45.6%	44.5%	18.0%	62.9%	48.6%	48.3%	47.5%	47.8%	52.4%	47.3%	52.8%	JOHNSTON
104	2010	47,998	39,851	6,986	15%	259	18,817	21,298	7,819	47.3%	48.9%	43.9%	19.9%	48.2%	55.3%	33.0%	48.5%	46.5%	20.2%	63.3%	47.0%	50.8%	47.1%	50.0%	56.1%	47.9%	56.3%	2010
105	JONES	4,043	2,622	1,357	34%	13	2,401	925	710	54.1%	55.3%	53.4%	25.5%	58.4%	54.9%	42.9%	53.6%	54.6%	23.7%	68.4%	57.9%	57.3%	56.3%	62.6%	56.2%	59.4%	56.3%	JONES
106	2010	3,864	2,477	1,336	35%	9	2,535	781	546	52.1%	53.1%	51.3%	25.0%	55.3%	52.6%	40.7%	51.6%	52.8%	24.8%	64.2%	55.4%	54.7%	53.3%	58.6%	53.4%	56.8%	53.5%	2010
107	LEE	15,859	12,011	3,329	21%	299	7,857	5,008	2,950	46.0%	48.8%	44.1%	20.2%	49.8%	50.7%	34.0%	45.8%	46.5%	17.9%	65.0%	48.8%	50.2%	47.3%	54.8%	52.1%	51.1%	51.7%	LEE
108	2010	14,768	11,580	2,874	19%	147	7,769	4,834	2,150	45.1%	47.7%	41.6%	16.5%	47.9%	51.4%	30.5%	45.5%	44.9%	17.3%	62.0%	45.4%	49.4%	44.8%	51.5%	52.9%	48.2%	52.5%	2010
109	LENOIR	18,718	10,996	7,478	40%	53	11,396	4,596	2,709	47.8%	51.5%	44.8%	15.6%	49.9%	49.2%	38.9%	46.0%	49.6%	19.4%	65.8%	50.1%	53.1%	47.2%	56.9%	51.0%	52.7%	50.1%	LENOIR
110	2010	17,987	11,049	6,755	38%	31	11,538	4,420	2,020	47.6%	51.5%	43.4%	16.4%	49.9%	49.6%	35.3%	46.4%	48.6%	17.8%	64.9%	47.6%	53.1%	46.4%	56.5%	52.0%	51.6%	50.7%	2010
111	LINCOLN	24,026	22,040	1,467	6%	161	6,804	11,899	5,277	45.7%	46.3%	47.7%	18.9%	44.4%	53.3%	35.7%	46.8%	45.0%	17.3%	62.8%	52.2%	47.7%	50.4%	44.2%	53.6%	44.4%	53.8%	LINCOLN
112	2010	23,429	21,880	1,184	5%	122	7,468	11,594	4,354	46.6%	47.4%	42.2%	22.7%	44.6%	54.7%	35.5%	48.2%	45.3%	19.2%	62.6%	45.9%	49.3%	43.6%	45.6%	55.0%	43.7%	55.8%	2010

		Votes Cast 2014 vs 2010	Cast by Whites	Cast by Blacks	% Vote from Blacks	Cast by Hispanics	By Democrats	By Republicans	By Unaffiliated	ALL TURN-OUT	Turn-out of Whites	Turn-out of Blacks	Of Hispanics	Of Democrats	Of Republican	Of Unaffiliated	Of Men	Of Women	Of Age 18-25	Of Age 66 & Up	Of Black Wom.	Of White Men	Of Black Dem.	Of White Dem.	Of White Rep.	Of Dem. Wom.	Of Rep. Men	
113	MACON	11,949	11,730	42	0%	45	3,672	5,186	3,058	47.6%	48.4%	25.0%	27.4%	49.1%	52.7%	39.9%	49.2%	46.7%	18.1%	62.2%	31.6%	49.8%	29.2%	49.9%	53.0%	48.3%	54.3%	MACON
114	2010	13,099	12,873	56	0%	38	4,578	5,711	2,807	53.1%	53.7%	28.9%	38.4%	54.5%	58.0%	44.0%	54.4%	52.1%	27.3%	64.1%	38.9%	55.1%	33.3%	55.2%	58.3%	53.1%	59.0%	2010
115	MADISON	7,902	7,705	24	0%	13	3,806	2,105	1,964	47.9%	48.7%	15.4%	20.3%	52.9%	49.4%	39.5%	48.4%	48.0%	20.2%	62.3%	22.2%	49.2%	20.2%	53.8%	49.7%	53.1%	49.7%	MADISO
116	2010	8,313	8,166	18	0%	13	4,307	2,523	1,477	51.4%	52.0%	14.4%	29.5%	55.9%	55.6%	37.7%	52.2%	50.6%	25.0%	60.6%	20.0%	52.9%	18.8%	56.6%	55.8%	55.3%	56.8%	2010
117	MARTIN	8,393	4,910	3,379	40%	23	5,651	1,485	1,242	48.0%	52.0%	43.9%	28.8%	50.5%	46.5%	40.7%	47.1%	48.8%	21.0%	62.9%	48.3%	54.5%	46.3%	58.0%	49.2%	51.9%	48.8%	MARTIN
118	2010	8,512	5,093	3,341	39%	14	6,222	1,318	970	47.6%	52.3%	42.3%	26.4%	50.7%	44.5%	36.7%	47.1%	48.0%	20.1%	59.3%	45.1%	53.8%	44.8%	59.4%	47.0%	51.4%	46.6%	2010
119	MCDOWELL	11,177	10,781	311	3%	31	3,926	4,757	2,477	39.3%	39.9%	35.7%	14.2%	40.9%	46.7%	29.0%	41.0%	37.8%	14.5%	53.7%	39.2%	41.9%	39.6%	41.4%	47.0%	40.0%	48.9%	MCDOW
120	2010	12,528	12,085	327	3%	22	5,140	4,987	2,395	44.8%	45.3%	38.4%	16.3%	47.8%	50.5%	32.7%	46.5%	43.3%	17.8%	58.5%	42.6%	47.3%	42.4%	48.5%	50.8%	46.4%	52.5%	2010
121	MECKLENBURG	266,454	164,920	86,455	32%	4,253	124,044	79,684	62,014	39.0%	42.0%	38.6%	20.3%	39.9%	45.7%	31.7%	38.2%	40.3%	15.3%	59.0%	42.8%	43.1%	40.6%	43.1%	47.2%	42.4%	46.5%	MECKLE
122	2010	228,929	148,573	70,073	31%	2,443	104,375	78,715	45,555	38.0%	39.9%	38.2%	19.9%	37.9%	45.9%	29.4%	38.4%	38.1%	14.3%	54.5%	41.5%	42.2%	40.1%	37.9%	47.3%	39.4%	47.4%	2010
123	MITCHELL	5,296	5,236	8	0%	13	486	3,563	1,245	45.5%	45.8%	30.8%	20.3%	38.8%	49.1%	39.9%	47.0%	44.3%	23.1%	55.5%	28.6%	47.3%	20.0%	39.2%	49.3%	39.4%	51.3%	MITCHE
124	2010	5,511	5,459	9	0%	11	481	3,874	1,155	47.3%	47.5%	40.9%	22.9%	36.6%	51.5%	41.0%	48.3%	46.5%	23.8%	55.5%	42.9%	48.5%	33.3%	36.8%	51.7%	36.1%	52.9%	2010
125	MONTGOMERY	8,191	6,303	1,689	21%	48	4,161	2,560	1,465	51.0%	53.4%	47.8%	18.6%	53.1%	55.6%	40.7%	51.1%	50.8%	23.4%	65.0%	52.5%	55.2%	50.3%	56.7%	56.8%	54.3%	57.6%	MONTGO
126	2010	7,866	6,286	1,437	18%	28	4,195	2,472	1,194	49.1%	52.3%	41.8%	16.6%	50.4%	53.6%	38.7%	49.6%	48.6%	20.3%	62.2%	45.0%	54.0%	44.1%	55.5%	54.8%	50.7%	54.8%	2010
127	MOORE	33,070	28,793	3,607	11%	163	8,805	15,686	8,497	51.6%	53.8%	43.5%	24.4%	49.4%	59.4%	43.5%	52.1%	51.3%	16.9%	70.5%	47.7%	55.1%	46.3%	52.5%	60.1%	50.5%	60.4%	MOORE
128	2010	28,570	25,333	2,820	10%	76	7,720	14,411	6,397	47.1%	49.5%	35.8%	18.6%	42.0%	56.3%	38.8%	48.4%	46.1%	13.5%	66.0%	39.5%	51.6%	38.5%	45.0%	56.9%	42.5%	57.6%	2010
129	NASH	34,446	21,107	12,612	37%	131	18,620	10,770	5,005	51.3%	55.0%	48.2%	17.8%	52.1%	56.4%	40.9%	49.8%	52.8%	23.6%	67.5%	53.0%	56.1%	50.6%	57.2%	58.2%	54.7%	56.1%	NASH
130	2010	31,588	19,919	11,152	35%	88	17,937	10,078	3,554	47.1%	48.5%	46.3%	18.7%	49.0%	50.3%	34.3%	46.3%	47.7%	20.5%	60.0%	50.2%	50.1%	49.2%	50.1%	52.0%	50.4%	50.5%	2010
131	NEW HANOVER	66,904	56,384	8,360	12%	421	24,036	25,651	16,966	42.0%	43.9%	38.1%	20.5%	44.2%	49.6%	32.5%	42.2%	42.5%	14.4%	66.0%	42.6%	44.6%	41.7%	46.8%	50.4%	45.3%	50.3%	NEW HA
132	2010	64,704	55,146	7,981	12%	236	24,264	26,691	13,630	44.1%	45.5%	40.6%	20.0%	44.3%	53.3%	32.9%	44.6%	44.2%	15.4%	66.7%	44.7%	46.6%	44.2%	45.4%	54.0%	45.3%	53.9%	2010
133	NORTHAMPTON	6,693	2,644	3,944	59%	6	5,421	531	737	43.6%	44.2%	43.8%	23.1%	46.3%	39.3%	32.5%	42.1%	45.2%	16.1%	55.6%	47.4%	46.9%	46.1%	47.5%	42.0%	48.6%	41.6%	NORTHA
134	2010	7,721	3,500	4,114	53%	5	6,347	605	768	51.7%	57.4%	48.1%	23.8%	53.7%	46.4%	42.5%	51.7%	52.1%	26.2%	60.7%	50.0%	59.4%	50.3%	61.5%	51.8%	54.2%	46.8%	2010
135	ONSLOW	31,702	24,377	5,624	18%	480	10,069	13,624	7,895	32.7%	34.8%	31.1%	12.5%	33.6%	40.0%	24.4%	34.0%	31.9%	8.9%	61.1%	33.6%	37.1%	35.3%	34.7%	41.3%	33.8%	41.4%	ONSLOV
136	2010	26,753	21,051	4,672	17%	238	10,387	11,073	5,252	30.5%	32.4%	28.6%	8.8%	33.4%	35.6%	20.6%	32.5%	29.0%	7.8%	56.8%	30.5%	35.6%	32.3%	36.5%	37.0%	32.5%	37.7%	2010
137	ORANGE	52,911	42,524	5,827	11%	756	29,596	7,796	15,313	48.5%	51.2%	44.4%	27.9%	56.0%	44.7%	40.0%	48.4%	49.1%	27.4%	72.5%	48.0%	51.5%	47.6%	60.6%	45.6%	56.5%	47.1%	ORANGE
138	2010	46,127	38,226	5,249	11%	434	27,476	8,022	10,530	45.0%	47.2%	41.9%	25.8%	51.2%	43.3%	35.1%	45.9%	44.6%	22.6%	68.0%	44.5%	48.5%	44.6%	54.8%	44.3%	51.0%	45.6%	2010
139	PAMLICO	5,483	4,257	1,103	20%	14	2,683	1,680	1,115	57.6%	57.7%	59.8%	29.2%	61.4%	60.1%	47.6%	58.3%	57.2%	25.3%	70.8%	63.5%	59.7%	61.7%	62.0%	60.6%	61.5%	61.5%	PAMLIC
140	2010	4,735	3,703	940	20%	4	2,476	1,514	743	51.6%	51.8%	52.0%	14.3%	53.8%	56.4%	39.4%	52.4%	51.1%	15.6%	66.5%	56.1%	54.1%	55.4%	53.2%	57.2%	54.0%	58.5%	2010
141	PASQUOTANK	10,304	6,189	3,783	37%	35	5,795	2,180	2,298	36.3%	38.9%	34.1%	18.5%	40.0%	38.5%	28.2%	35.9%	37.1%	12.7%	56.3%	37.6%	40.6%	37.4%	46.9%	40.1%	41.4%	38.4%	PASQUO
142	2010	9,805	6,070	3,487	36%	27	5,805	2,000	1,986	34.9%	37.0%	33.1%	22.1%	38.4%	35.5%	27.2%	34.8%	35.3%	12.3%	52.1%	36.0%	38.8%	35.9%	43.6%	37.0%	39.3%	36.1%	2010
143	PENDER	16,563	13,106	3,028	18%	80	6,204	6,725	3,587	44.5%	44.8%	45.7%	19.6%	45.6%	50.4%	35.6%	44.4%	44.6%	13.7%	62.8%	51.2%	46.1%	48.7%	44.4%	51.0%	46.9%	51.0%	PENDER
144	2010	16,749	13,379	3,004	18%	60	6,853	6,760	3,111	48.3%	49.1%	46.8%	26.5%	47.8%	56.2%	37.9%	48.6%	48.1%	17.7%	64.4%	51.6%	50.7%	49.4%	47.2%	56.8%	48.6%	56.4%	2010
145	PERQUIMANS	4,160	3,235	889	21%	8	1,896	1,203	1,056	41.9%	44.0%	37.0%	19.0%	41.7%	49.2%	36.3%	42.7%	41.2%	12.2%	58.3%	40.5%	46.1%	39.0%	44.6%	50.0%	42.6%	51.1%	PERQUI
146	2010	4,217	3,345	831	20%	6	2,120	1,125	970	44.1%	47.0%	36.8%	23.1%	44.3%	49.8%	38.8%	45.5%	42.9%	13.8%	59.4%	39.0%	49.3%	38.7%	49.0%	50.8%	43.9%	51.9%	2010
147	PERSON	12,846	8,936	3,611	28%	45	7,094	3,031	2,688	49.5%	49.9%	50.2%	20.3%	54.2%	49.9%	40.1%	50.1%	49.3%	22.7%	64.7%	53.2%	51.9%	53.0%	56.2%	50.6%	54.7%	51.3%	PERSON
148	2010	11,764	8,359	3,177	27%	35	7,028	2,655	2,067	47.1%	47.6%	46.9%	25.4%	51.6%	46.3%	37.0%	47.8%	46.8%	21.3%	61.0%	49.8%	49.7%	49.8%	53.5%	47.1%	51.7%	48.1%	2010
149	PITT	45,628	29,628	14,793	32%	252	24,043	13,194	8,258	39.1%	42.2%	36.9%	16.2%	42.1%	43.7%	28.7%	38.7%	40.1%	13.4%	64.3%	40.8%	43.7%	39.4%	49.0%	45.4%	43.7%	44.7%	PITT
150	2010	41,812	27,663	13,263	32%	168	23,750	12,080	5,938	40.2%	42.0%	38.8%	19.9%	43.5%	43.9%	27.4%	40.1%	40.4%	13.6%	63.5%	42.4%	44.0%	41.4%	47.7%	45.5%	44.3%	45.1%	2010

	Votes Cast 2014 vs 2010	Cast by Whites	Cast by Blacks	% Vote from Blacks	Cast by Hispanics	By Democrats	By Republicans	By Unaffiliated	ALL TURN-OUT	Turn-out of Whites	Turn-out of Blacks	Of Hispanics	Of Democrats	Of Republican	Of Unaffiliated	Of Men	Of Women	Of Age 18-25	Of Age 66 & Up	Of Black Wom.	Of White Men	Of Black Dem.	Of White Dem.	Of White Rep.	Of Dem. Wom.	Of Rep. Men		
151	POLK	7,639	7,221	296	4%	25	2,345	2,865	2,414	49.3%	50.2%	40.1%	17.0%	49.4%	53.3%	45.5%	50.0%	48.8%	19.4%	64.9%	46.6%	51.4%	42.3%	51.0%	53.8%	51.3%	54.7%	POLK
152	2010	7,902	7,431	364	5%	28	2,707	3,053	2,133	52.9%	53.3%	51.9%	27.5%	55.0%	57.3%	45.8%	53.7%	52.3%	23.1%	67.9%	57.1%	54.5%	55.1%	55.4%	57.5%	55.3%	58.0%	2010
153	RANDOLPH	36,982	34,118	2,167	6%	235	7,962	22,094	6,847	41.0%	42.1%	38.4%	13.7%	37.9%	49.4%	28.3%	42.4%	39.9%	15.9%	58.6%	42.2%	43.9%	41.8%	38.2%	49.9%	38.3%	50.7%	RANDOL
154	2010	33,331	31,343	1,557	5%	147	7,574	20,629	5,093	38.4%	39.6%	30.5%	13.4%	33.4%	47.4%	25.0%	40.3%	36.9%	13.4%	54.7%	32.5%	41.9%	32.8%	34.4%	47.9%	32.5%	48.9%	2010
155	RICHMOND	13,233	8,572	4,378	33%	60	8,982	2,265	1,961	43.3%	44.9%	43.3%	22.6%	48.1%	42.7%	30.2%	42.9%	43.9%	17.0%	62.4%	47.4%	46.6%	45.8%	52.0%	44.4%	48.8%	42.9%	RICHMO
156	2010	12,519	8,277	3,994	32%	39	9,100	2,005	1,412	41.6%	42.4%	41.6%	23.6%	46.3%	39.4%	26.4%	42.2%	41.0%	15.9%	59.7%	44.9%	45.4%	44.4%	48.6%	40.9%	45.6%	40.0%	2010
157	ROBESON	25,320	9,944	7,633	30%	82	19,352	3,027	2,911	33.8%	41.9%	35.0%	9.6%	36.6%	34.7%	22.1%	33.8%	34.0%	11.8%	52.7%	38.6%	44.8%	37.5%	47.9%	40.7%	37.2%	37.1%	ROBESON
158	2010	23,527	10,226	6,409	27%	53	18,875	2,712	1,931	33.8%	43.1%	32.2%	9.4%	36.3%	35.1%	19.5%	34.4%	33.4%	10.2%	52.5%	34.9%	46.3%	34.5%	48.7%	42.2%	36.3%	38.1%	2010
159	ROCKINGHAM	28,479	22,173	5,781	20%	92	12,521	10,437	5,479	47.8%	48.6%	48.1%	18.6%	48.9%	53.4%	38.5%	47.7%	47.9%	20.0%	62.1%	52.2%	49.9%	50.7%	48.5%	54.3%	49.9%	53.5%	ROCKIN
160	2010	26,300	21,474	4,520	17%	50	12,658	9,394	4,228	45.0%	47.1%	39.1%	15.2%	45.7%	51.3%	34.3%	46.0%	44.0%	18.0%	58.0%	41.4%	49.1%	41.3%	49.1%	52.0%	45.1%	52.3%	2010
161	ROWAN	39,388	32,474	6,202	16%	186	12,167	18,848	8,313	42.6%	44.5%	38.5%	16.7%	40.2%	50.6%	33.8%	43.0%	42.6%	15.6%	60.4%	43.2%	46.0%	41.6%	40.6%	51.3%	41.3%	51.6%	ROWAN
162	2010	36,949	31,191	5,241	14%	89	12,514	18,201	6,209	40.8%	42.5%	35.7%	13.9%	38.5%	48.9%	30.1%	41.8%	40.1%	16.2%	54.7%	39.3%	44.5%	38.3%	39.6%	49.6%	38.6%	50.2%	2010
163	RUTHERFORD	19,793	17,724	1,726	9%	93	7,839	7,588	4,339	45.1%	46.7%	37.5%	22.9%	45.9%	51.0%	37.0%	46.1%	44.7%	19.9%	60.2%	40.8%	48.1%	40.0%	48.2%	51.8%	45.5%	52.1%	RUTHER
164	2010	19,189	17,323	1,608	8%	65	8,391	7,305	3,486	44.8%	46.1%	37.1%	25.0%	45.4%	51.0%	34.9%	46.7%	43.3%	20.5%	57.7%	39.1%	48.5%	39.4%	47.3%	51.7%	43.9%	52.6%	2010
165	SAMPSON	17,620	11,663	5,373	30%	134	8,264	7,392	1,939	46.7%	50.2%	44.9%	14.1%	46.0%	54.8%	31.4%	46.6%	47.2%	20.9%	60.9%	49.3%	52.3%	47.1%	48.4%	56.4%	48.5%	56.5%	SAMPSON
166	2010	17,948	12,345	5,094	28%	84	8,765	7,533	1,640	50.0%	54.4%	45.1%	15.3%	48.5%	59.1%	32.6%	50.2%	50.0%	23.6%	62.4%	49.0%	56.7%	47.6%	52.7%	61.0%	50.2%	60.7%	2010
167	SCOTLAND	10,542	5,621	4,223	40%	40	6,908	1,501	2,124	46.6%	50.4%	46.5%	26.5%	50.0%	44.4%	39.4%	45.7%	47.5%	22.3%	62.5%	50.1%	52.2%	49.2%	55.9%	47.4%	51.4%	46.4%	SCOTLA
168	2010	8,575	4,788	3,392	40%	23	5,950	1,325	1,297	38.0%	39.7%	39.6%	18.9%	41.9%	35.9%	27.6%	38.5%	37.8%	14.5%	52.3%	42.0%	42.7%	42.6%	44.6%	38.8%	42.2%	37.8%	2010
169	STANLY	19,475	17,317	1,842	9%	39	6,081	9,609	3,760	48.8%	50.5%	42.7%	20.7%	48.0%	56.1%	37.6%	50.0%	48.2%	20.4%	64.8%	46.9%	52.3%	45.1%	50.4%	56.7%	47.6%	56.9%	STANLY
170	2010	18,856	16,951	1,702	9%	24	6,713	9,276	2,852	48.4%	49.6%	43.7%	22.6%	48.3%	55.5%	34.4%	50.0%	47.3%	20.7%	64.2%	46.2%	51.6%	46.4%	49.9%	56.2%	47.6%	56.6%	2010
171	STOKES	14,346	13,522	616	4%	35	4,077	7,761	2,478	46.8%	47.3%	45.7%	16.0%	45.7%	53.5%	35.1%	48.1%	45.7%	19.3%	62.7%	50.5%	49.0%	47.4%	46.0%	53.7%	45.2%	54.7%	STOKES
172	2010	14,585	13,869	553	4%	34	4,501	7,945	2,118	48.3%	48.9%	40.8%	25.0%	45.7%	55.4%	35.5%	50.1%	46.6%	21.0%	63.2%	42.5%	51.0%	42.2%	46.7%	55.6%	44.3%	57.4%	2010
173	SURRY	19,596	18,572	708	4%	92	7,250	8,922	3,379	44.5%	45.4%	38.7%	14.3%	45.4%	50.4%	33.1%	47.0%	42.6%	20.1%	56.0%	42.7%	48.1%	41.9%	46.5%	50.7%	43.9%	52.5%	SURRY
174	2010	19,140	18,332	593	3%	62	7,826	8,547	2,741	43.6%	44.5%	32.7%	17.2%	44.2%	49.5%	31.1%	45.7%	41.9%	20.5%	54.3%	34.8%	46.8%	34.6%	45.6%	49.7%	42.6%	50.8%	2010
175	SWAIN	4,528	3,951	14	0%	9	2,170	1,222	1,119	43.3%	47.5%	13.1%	20.9%	49.5%	46.2%	33.0%	43.9%	42.8%	19.9%	62.1%	22.2%	48.1%	12.3%	54.4%	48.5%	49.6%	47.1%	SWAIN
176	2010	4,649	4,084	21	0%	8	2,408	1,298	941	48.0%	52.4%	32.8%	25.0%	54.1%	52.3%	34.4%	48.5%	47.6%	21.7%	65.4%	43.8%	53.0%	38.5%	58.2%	55.6%	53.6%	52.3%	2010
177	TRANSYLVANIA	13,349	12,768	356	3%	42	4,185	4,980	4,150	54.6%	55.9%	39.6%	27.1%	57.3%	60.6%	47.1%	53.9%	55.6%	18.4%	71.5%	49.6%	55.5%	43.3%	59.7%	60.9%	58.6%	60.9%	TRANSY
178	2010	12,637	12,208	291	2%	17	4,288	5,157	3,180	53.7%	55.0%	34.0%	17.9%	54.0%	60.4%	45.1%	53.7%	53.9%	17.5%	68.2%	40.7%	55.5%	36.3%	56.5%	60.7%	54.3%	60.9%	2010
179	TYRRELL	1,256	869	361	29%	6	835	169	251	50.5%	54.9%	44.0%	28.6%	52.4%	51.5%	44.8%	49.0%	51.9%	20.0%	63.3%	48.0%	54.7%	46.4%	58.2%	54.2%	53.9%	48.9%	TYRREL
180	2010	1,381	1,013	346	25%	4	1,007	151	222	52.4%	60.2%	38.9%	40.0%	54.3%	51.4%	45.4%	51.9%	52.7%	20.8%	61.1%	42.1%	60.8%	40.8%	65.4%	53.8%	54.6%	46.0%	2010
181	UNION	59,169	49,300	7,600	13%	899	15,754	29,240	14,021	41.6%	42.4%	43.4%	23.1%	39.6%	48.3%	33.8%	41.5%	41.8%	15.3%	61.8%	48.3%	43.0%	45.1%	38.3%	48.9%	41.0%	48.1%	UNION
182	2010	50,302	42,596	6,307	13%	547	13,867	26,388	9,976	40.3%	40.8%	42.4%	22.9%	36.3%	48.3%	31.4%	41.5%	39.2%	15.8%	57.1%	46.0%	42.9%	44.5%	33.4%	48.9%	36.4%	49.2%	2010
183	VANCE	14,171	6,834	7,032	50%	54	10,534	1,981	1,637	47.2%	51.3%	44.9%	19.3%	50.7%	47.2%	32.9%	45.1%	49.3%	19.2%	67.0%	49.2%	52.4%	47.6%	58.5%	50.2%	53.0%	47.7%	VANCE
184	2010	14,155	7,259	6,683	47%	33	10,836	1,947	1,362	48.3%	52.9%	44.9%	19.1%	51.4%	48.0%	32.6%	46.8%	49.6%	19.8%	65.6%	48.1%	54.0%	47.3%	60.1%	51.0%	53.1%	48.7%	2010
185	WAKE	327,570	240,800	63,846	19%	4,626	136,595	103,657	86,103	48.8%	52.3%	45.6%	26.6%	51.8%	55.0%	40.0%	48.4%	49.8%	22.6%	70.3%	50.3%	52.9%	48.2%	58.5%	56.3%	53.7%	55.8%	WAKE
186	2010	279,946	210,115	54,060	19%	2,512	122,179	98,242	59,061	47.8%	49.8%	46.0%	24.8%	49.8%	55.2%	36.5%	47.9%	47.6%	21.5%	66.6%	49.9%	51.2%	48.4%	52.8%	56.3%	50.4%	56.0%	2010
187	WARREN	6,692	2,634	3,647	54%	4	5,108	806	774	49.8%	49.3%	51.2%	10.8%	52.6%	49.0%	37.7%	47.3%	52.1%	18.4%	64.7%	56.3%	51.7%	53.6%	52.0%	51.8%	55.5%	49.6%	WARREN
188	2010	6,560	2,732	3,456	53%	4	5,092	800	664	50.1%	52.2%	49.3%	13.8%	51.8%	50.4%	39.8%	48.7%	51.1%	20.3%	64.5%	52.6%	54.8%	51.2%	54.6%	54.2%	53.1%	52.0%	2010

	Votes Cast 2014 vs 2010	Cast by Whites	Cast by Blacks	% Vote from Blacks	Cast by Hispanics	By Democrats	By Republicans	By Unaffiliated	ALL TURN-OUT	Turn-out of Whites	Turn-out of Blacks	Of Hispanics	Of Democrats	Of Republican	Of Unaffiliated	Of Men	Of Women	Of Age 18-25	Of Age 66 & Up	Of Black Wom.	Of White Men	Of Black Dem.	Of White Dem.	Of White Rep.	Of Dem. Wom.	Of Rep. Men		
189	WASHINGTON	4,680	2,450	2,168	46%	5	3,531	525	621	52.7%	58.9%	47.8%	15.2%	55.5%	48.8%	43.4%	50.7%	54.2%	24.1%	69.4%	52.2%	60.7%	50.6%	65.8%	52.0%	57.3%	47.0%	WASHINGTON
190	2010	4,621	2,560	2,007	43%	4	3,610	516	495	51.1%	58.6%	44.5%	26.7%	53.6%	46.7%	41.3%	50.3%	51.7%	22.3%	63.6%	47.2%	60.0%	47.1%	65.0%	50.3%	54.3%	46.5%	2010
191	WATAUGA	17,188	16,633	170	1%	124	5,574	6,540	4,980	37.6%	38.8%	19.4%	18.3%	44.6%	44.2%	27.7%	37.1%	38.3%	16.6%	67.5%	25.4%	38.4%	20.8%	46.5%	44.7%	46.2%	43.9%	WATAUGA
192	2010	17,141	16,669	141	1%	54	5,888	7,132	4,073	41.2%	42.3%	21.7%	17.3%	45.0%	48.9%	29.4%	40.8%	41.7%	18.0%	66.8%	23.7%	42.0%	23.1%	46.7%	49.3%	45.5%	48.0%	2010
193	WAYNE	33,185	21,313	10,843	33%	173	16,132	12,100	4,894	45.0%	48.6%	42.8%	11.8%	47.2%	51.8%	30.5%	44.6%	45.9%	17.2%	66.4%	47.6%	50.8%	45.9%	53.3%	53.5%	49.5%	53.1%	WAYNE
194	2010	31,060	20,841	9,387	30%	125	15,998	11,286	3,747	44.3%	48.3%	40.1%	15.3%	45.8%	51.1%	28.8%	45.1%	44.3%	17.2%	64.6%	43.4%	51.0%	43.2%	52.5%	53.0%	46.9%	53.2%	2010
195	WILKES	18,796	17,879	716	4%	66	4,612	11,090	3,063	44.9%	45.5%	42.5%	15.9%	41.9%	51.8%	32.9%	46.6%	43.6%	18.9%	58.2%	46.8%	47.4%	46.1%	41.9%	52.1%	41.5%	53.6%	WILKES
196	2010	19,929	19,043	706	4%	62	5,531	11,666	2,719	47.6%	48.1%	43.3%	24.3%	45.7%	53.9%	33.6%	49.6%	46.0%	18.5%	60.0%	44.7%	50.2%	46.7%	46.0%	54.2%	44.0%	55.4%	2010
197	WILSON	26,036	15,150	10,314	40%	99	14,755	7,117	4,127	46.9%	51.0%	44.4%	13.7%	48.1%	52.3%	37.1%	45.3%	48.5%	21.3%	61.9%	49.6%	52.9%	47.3%	52.8%	54.7%	51.1%	53.4%	WILSON
198	2010	27,142	16,196	10,454	39%	85	16,564	7,261	3,304	51.0%	54.1%	48.1%	18.8%	53.2%	53.6%	38.7%	49.3%	52.3%	23.6%	62.5%	52.7%	55.5%	51.1%	58.1%	56.2%	55.3%	53.9%	2010
199	YADKIN	11,439	10,993	287	3%	63	1,877	7,462	2,087	47.8%	48.9%	37.5%	16.5%	39.8%	56.0%	36.0%	50.1%	46.2%	20.9%	62.0%	42.5%	51.3%	39.0%	41.1%	56.4%	39.7%	58.3%	YADKIN
200	2010	11,747	11,392	232	2%	49	2,147	7,786	1,809	50.0%	51.1%	31.1%	21.0%	41.3%	58.0%	37.2%	52.2%	48.4%	23.5%	61.3%	33.9%	53.5%	32.6%	43.1%	58.4%	40.7%	59.8%	2010
201	YANCEY	8,536	8,365	47	1%	16	3,590	3,240	1,689	59.3%	59.6%	49.5%	26.7%	61.4%	63.3%	49.8%	60.7%	58.1%	41.8%	69.5%	55.1%	61.2%	52.4%	61.7%	63.6%	59.0%	63.4%	YANCEY
202	2010	9,484	9,327	55	1%	21	4,338	3,519	1,620	62.9%	63.2%	53.4%	42.0%	65.2%	64.9%	54.4%	63.9%	62.0%	50.9%	68.3%	64.0%	64.3%	56.5%	65.4%	65.0%	63.4%	64.9%	2010