

COUNTY	TOTAL POPULATION IN 2000	VOTING AGE POPULATION (VAP)				PERCENT OF VAP WHO VOTED IN 2000						Household Income		Adults With H.S. Degree		Born In N.C.		Not A U.S. Citizen		COUNTY
		ADULTS AGE 18 AND UP		% Black	% Black	Total VAP		White VAP		Black VAP		Median	Rank	% Rank	% Rank	% Rank	% Rank	% Rank	% Rank	
		Total	White			%	Rank	%	Rank	%	Rank									
Alamance	130,800	99,646	77,350	17,612	17.7%	48.7%	52	52.5%	51	42.4%	37	\$39,168	22	76.5%	34	69.9%	59	5.2%	90	Alamance
Alexander	33,603	25,369	23,579	1,165	4.6%	49.2%	48	50.9%	62	36.7%	70	\$38,684	26	68.7%	78	77.3%	30	1.7%	41	Alexander
Alleghany	10,677	8,604	8,243	111	1.3%	53.0%	28	54.7%	39	41.4%	43	\$29,244	83	68.0%	85	65.6%	66	3.1%	71	Alleghany
Anson	25,275	18,897	9,972	8,657	45.8%	41.4%	91	46.6%	87	36.4%	72	\$29,849	80	70.2%	68	83.6%	5	0.5%	7	Anson
Ashe	24,384	19,557	19,037	128	0.7%	55.3%	14	56.4%	31	35.9%	75	\$28,824	86	68.6%	80	75.8%	38	1.4%	35	Ashe
Avery	17,167	13,831	12,905	581	4.2%	50.0%	45	53.4%	47	2.1%	99	\$30,627	76	70.6%	64	72.9%	48	1.9%	46	Avery
Beaufort	44,958	34,436	24,482	9,205	26.7%	51.5%	36	56.5%	30	41.9%	41	\$31,066	70	75.0%	40	79.0%	19	1.7%	43	Beaufort
Bertie	19,773	14,610	5,803	8,646	59.2%	52.9%	29	59.7%	6	49.0%	7	\$25,177	100	63.8%	99	86.4%	1	0.4%	3	Bertie
Bladen	32,278	24,330	14,643	8,649	35.5%	45.4%	74	48.5%	76	44.4%	29	\$26,877	93	70.6%	65	83.2%	6	1.7%	40	Bladen
Brunswick	73,143	57,634	48,709	7,311	12.7%	51.2%	37	53.1%	50	47.0%	13	\$35,888	42	78.3%	24	57.5%	82	1.8%	45	Brunswick
Buncombe	206,330	161,201	145,570	10,907	6.8%	55.1%	16	57.1%	25	40.1%	54	\$36,666	37	81.9%	17	60.6%	79	2.6%	62	Buncombe
Burke	89,148	67,776	59,635	4,472	6.6%	47.3%	57	50.6%	65	38.7%	60	\$35,629	45	67.6%	86	73.9%	42	3.5%	75	Burke
Cabarrus	131,063	97,281	82,445	11,015	11.3%	52.6%	33	55.4%	37	45.0%	24	\$46,140	4	78.2%	25	63.2%	72	3.7%	77	Cabarrus
Caldwell	77,415	59,266	54,879	2,935	5.0%	35.4%	98	36.3%	99	31.5%	89	\$35,739	43	66.2%	90	80.7%	13	1.5%	38	Caldwell
Camden	6,885	5,200	4,195	911	17.5%	55.6%	13	56.8%	28	53.5%	3	\$39,493	20	82.1%	15	56.8%	83	0.5%	5	Camden
Carteret	59,383	47,086	43,007	2,984	6.3%	57.2%	3	59.4%	8	41.1%	47	\$38,344	30	82.1%	13	59.5%	80	1.1%	26	Carteret
Caswell	23,501	18,049	11,121	6,577	36.4%	47.3%	58	48.3%	78	47.7%	10	\$35,018	48	69.2%	75	65.5%	67	1.1%	30	Caswell
Catawba	141,685	107,293	93,485	8,135	7.6%	47.9%	56	51.2%	60	38.6%	61	\$40,536	16	74.8%	43	68.6%	61	5.1%	89	Catawba
Chatham	49,329	38,245	29,257	6,359	16.6%	56.3%	8	61.5%	3	51.8%	5	\$42,851	6	77.9%	27	62.7%	73	7.4%	97	Chatham
Cherokee	24,298	19,299	18,382	287	1.5%	50.7%	42	52.4%	53	24.0%	94	\$27,992	92	73.3%	48	54.8%	87	0.7%	11	Cherokee
Chowan	14,526	11,050	7,061	3,800	34.4%	46.2%	71	54.2%	42	32.7%	86	\$30,928	74	73.1%	50	72.9%	49	0.6%	8	Chowan
Clay	8,775	7,147	7,022	50	0.7%	56.3%	9	57.1%	26	14.0%	97	\$31,397	66	76.5%	35	40.1%	97	0.4%	2	Clay
Cleveland	96,287	72,069	56,974	13,730	19.1%	45.6%	72	47.2%	84	42.3%	38	\$35,283	46	72.2%	52	77.7%	28	1.2%	31	Cleveland
Columbus	54,749	40,680	27,100	11,609	28.5%	46.6%	65	48.9%	75	44.7%	25	\$26,805	94	68.6%	79	76.7%	32	1.0%	25	Columbus
Craven	91,436	68,940	49,921	16,026	23.2%	46.7%	63	51.1%	61	39.6%	55	\$35,966	40	82.1%	14	51.9%	89	2.0%	51	Craven
Cumberland	302,963	218,361	126,890	72,048	33.0%	36.6%	94	38.4%	98	37.8%	68	\$37,466	33	85.0%	6	45.0%	96	2.6%	61	Cumberland
Currituck	18,190	13,583	12,339	968	7.1%	50.9%	41	51.8%	56	46.5%	17	\$40,822	15	77.6%	29	29.7%	100	0.7%	14	Currituck
Dare	29,967	23,556	22,469	578	2.5%	56.7%	7	58.0%	17	41.3%	45	\$42,411	8	88.6%	2	34.7%	99	1.4%	36	Dare
Davidson	147,246	111,468	98,482	9,458	8.5%	47.9%	55	49.7%	70	44.5%	28	\$38,640	27	72.0%	54	75.0%	41	2.8%	67	Davidson
Davie	34,835	26,380	24,037	1,760	6.7%	53.8%	22	55.7%	34	42.2%	39	\$40,174	17	78.1%	26	71.4%	56	2.5%	59	Davie
Duplin	49,063	36,258	22,219	10,064	27.8%	40.0%	92	46.5%	88	40.2%	52	\$29,890	79	65.8%	92	75.8%	39	10.0%	100	Duplin
Durham	223,314	172,105	93,227	62,608	36.4%	49.5%	47	57.1%	23	46.5%	16	\$43,337	5	83.0%	10	54.8%	88	8.8%	99	Durham
Edgecombe	55,606	40,539	17,659	22,015	54.3%	46.6%	64	52.0%	55	43.8%	31	\$30,983	73	65.6%	93	84.9%	3	1.6%	39	Edgecombe
Forsyth	306,067	232,845	165,558	55,238	23.7%	51.8%	34	57.4%	20	43.6%	34	\$42,097	10	82.0%	16	61.9%	76	5.2%	91	Forsyth
Franklin	47,260	35,302	23,920	10,168	28.8%	46.2%	70	50.0%	69	40.9%	48	\$38,968	23	73.6%	45	72.2%	52	3.1%	70	Franklin
Gaston	190,365	143,491	121,738	17,828	12.4%	42.0%	88	43.9%	92	35.8%	78	\$39,482	21	71.4%	59	72.9%	50	2.6%	60	Gaston

COUNTY	TOTAL	VOTING AGE POPULATION (VAP)				PERCENT OF VAP WHO VOTED IN 2000						Household		Adults With		Born In		Not A U.S.		COUNTY
	POPULATION	ADULTS AGE 18 AND UP		%	Total VAP	White VAP		Black VAP		Income		H.S. Degree		N.C.		Citizen				
	IN 2000	Total	White	Black	Black	%	Rank	%	Rank	%	Rank	Median	Rank	%	Rank	%	Rank	%	Rank	
Gates	10,516	7,713	4,638	2,966	38.5%	48.5%	53	50.8%	63	46.2%	19	\$35,647	44	71.4%	61	56.5%	84	0.7%	13	Gates
Graham	7,993	6,238	5,827	9	0.1%	56.7%	5	58.8%	10	0.0%		\$26,645	96	68.4%	82	76.5%	33	0.7%	9	Graham
Granville	48,498	36,910	22,654	12,833	34.8%	42.3%	86	46.0%	89	39.4%	57	\$39,965	19	73.0%	51	73.3%	44	3.3%	73	Granville
Greene	18,974	14,182	7,741	5,622	39.6%	41.9%	89	53.5%	45	31.6%	88	\$32,074	64	65.4%	95	81.6%	11	4.4%	84	Greene
Guilford	421,048	321,209	215,253	88,386	27.5%	53.0%	27	58.8%	11	47.4%	12	\$42,618	7	83.0%	9	61.2%	77	4.8%	87	Guilford
Halifax	57,370	42,365	19,415	21,050	49.7%	42.0%	87	49.6%	71	36.2%	74	\$26,459	97	65.4%	96	83.0%	7	0.8%	15	Halifax
Harnett	91,025	66,485	49,000	13,814	20.8%	36.3%	95	40.1%	96	30.1%	90	\$35,105	47	75.0%	41	65.4%	68	3.3%	74	Harnett
Haywood	54,033	42,810	41,623	531	1.2%	54.0%	21	54.8%	38	37.9%	67	\$33,922	52	77.7%	28	70.4%	58	1.0%	21	Haywood
Henderson	89,173	70,621	66,138	1,906	2.7%	56.8%	4	59.0%	9	35.8%	76	\$38,109	31	83.2%	8	49.8%	90	3.9%	81	Henderson
Hertford	22,601	16,878	6,889	9,485	56.2%	46.9%	61	51.5%	59	45.1%	23	\$26,422	98	65.6%	94	78.8%	20	0.7%	12	Hertford
Hoke	33,646	23,615	11,076	8,677	36.7%	35.1%	99	39.0%	97	38.5%	62	\$33,230	55	73.5%	46	64.8%	70	3.8%	79	Hoke
Hyde	5,826	4,640	2,948	1,595	34.4%	48.9%	50	58.6%	12	33.6%	85	\$28,444	89	68.4%	83	78.8%	21	0.8%	17	Hyde
Iredell	122,660	91,338	76,634	11,539	12.6%	49.1%	49	52.3%	54	38.0%	66	\$41,920	11	78.4%	23	64.7%	71	2.8%	66	Iredell
Jackson	33,121	26,824	23,520	486	1.8%	46.2%	68	50.3%	66	26.1%	92	\$32,552	61	78.8%	22	62.7%	74	1.1%	27	Jackson
Johnston	121,965	90,141	72,045	13,106	14.5%	46.4%	67	50.8%	64	37.1%	69	\$40,872	14	75.9%	37	68.8%	60	4.9%	88	Johnston
Jones	10,381	7,716	4,852	2,671	34.6%	53.3%	24	53.4%	46	56.2%	2	\$30,882	75	72.2%	53	82.3%	9	1.2%	33	Jones
Lee	49,040	36,455	26,455	6,999	19.2%	45.5%	73	51.8%	57	38.3%	63	\$38,900	24	76.3%	36	65.4%	69	7.8%	98	Lee
Lenoir	59,648	44,569	26,444	16,976	38.1%	48.4%	54	53.4%	48	43.3%	36	\$31,191	68	71.9%	57	82.4%	8	1.9%	48	Lenoir
Lincoln	63,780	47,905	43,757	2,830	5.9%	52.9%	30	54.6%	40	44.5%	27	\$41,421	12	71.7%	58	71.5%	55	3.9%	80	Lincoln
Macon	29,811	23,748	23,193	235	1.0%	56.7%	6	57.5%	19	20.0%	95	\$32,139	63	77.3%	30	47.0%	92	1.5%	37	Macon
Madison	19,635	15,463	15,101	143	0.9%	54.6%	19	55.5%	36	18.9%	96	\$30,985	72	69.3%	73	70.4%	57	0.8%	16	Madison
Martin	25,593	19,060	10,615	8,089	42.4%	51.2%	38	58.2%	15	43.8%	30	\$28,793	87	70.7%	63	86.3%	2	1.0%	22	Martin
McDowell	42,151	32,533	30,156	1,412	4.3%	43.5%	82	45.5%	90	26.8%	91	\$32,396	62	70.2%	69	79.2%	18	2.0%	50	McDowell
Mecklenberg	695,454	521,205	347,269	134,060	25.7%	51.0%	40	56.9%	27	46.1%	21	\$50,579	3	86.2%	5	46.2%	94	7.0%	95	Mecklenber
Mitchell	15,687	12,366	12,131	19	0.2%	55.2%	15	56.1%	32	52.6%	4	\$30,508	77	68.6%	81	77.8%	26	1.1%	29	Mitchell
Montgomery	26,822	20,142	14,506	4,188	20.8%	44.9%	77	50.2%	68	40.7%	50	\$32,903	58	64.2%	98	77.9%	25	6.9%	94	Montgomery
Moore	74,769	58,228	48,166	8,048	13.8%	56.2%	10	60.6%	5	41.3%	46	\$41,240	13	82.6%	11	57.5%	81	2.8%	65	Moore
Nash	87,420	65,216	42,175	20,756	31.8%	49.6%	46	57.2%	22	38.0%	65	\$37,147	35	75.6%	38	77.5%	29	2.2%	53	Nash
New Hanover	160,307	126,715	104,315	18,956	15.0%	53.7%	23	56.7%	29	42.2%	40	\$40,172	18	86.3%	4	55.1%	86	1.9%	49	New Hanove
Northampton	22,086	16,718	7,035	9,479	56.7%	52.7%	31	59.6%	7	48.4%	8	\$26,652	95	62.5%	100	77.8%	27	0.4%	4	Northhampto
Onslow	150,355	111,017	82,379	19,064	17.2%	27.2%	100	29.7%	100	25.4%	93	\$33,756	54	84.3%	7	36.5%	98	2.3%	56	Onslow
Orange	118,227	94,243	74,666	12,362	13.1%	54.6%	20	58.5%	13	48.2%	9	\$42,372	9	87.6%	3	46.4%	93	6.8%	93	Orange
Pamlico	12,934	10,208	7,616	2,401	23.5%	53.1%	26	54.6%	41	51.2%	6	\$34,084	50	75.2%	39	73.2%	46	1.0%	23	Pamlico
Pasquotank	34,897	26,214	15,472	10,042	38.3%	42.6%	84	48.0%	79	35.8%	77	\$30,444	78	76.8%	33	66.2%	63	1.2%	32	Pasquotank
Pender	41,082	31,555	23,456	7,111	22.5%	46.5%	66	48.5%	77	43.8%	32	\$35,902	41	76.8%	32	66.2%	64	2.4%	57	Pender
Perquimans	11,368	8,758	6,382	2,290	26.1%	50.6%	43	52.5%	52	46.8%	14	\$29,538	82	71.9%	56	65.7%	65	0.2%	1	Perquimans

COUNTY	TOTAL POPULATION IN 2000	VOTING AGE POPULATION (VAP)				PERCENT OF VAP WHO VOTED IN 2000						Household Income		Adults With H.S. Degree		Born In N.C.		Not A U.S. Citizen		COUNTY
		ADULTS AGE 18 AND UP		% Black	% Black	Total VAP		White VAP		Black VAP		Median	Rank	% Rank	% Rank	% Rank	% Rank	% Rank	% Rank	
		Total	White			%	Rank	%	Rank	%	Rank									
Person	35,623	27,073	19,183	7,206	26.6%	44.8%	78	47.2%	83	40.8%	49	\$37,159	34	74.9%	42	78.2%	24	0.9%	18	Person
Pitt	133,798	102,244	67,085	31,238	30.6%	44.0%	81	49.5%	72	35.5%	82	\$32,868	59	79.9%	21	71.5%	54	2.8%	63	Pitt
Polk	18,324	14,635	13,634	782	5.3%	56.0%	11	57.3%	21	46.2%	18	\$36,259	39	80.6%	19	45.3%	95	2.1%	52	Polk
Randolph	130,454	97,851	88,409	5,176	5.3%	44.7%	80	46.8%	85	38.2%	64	\$38,348	29	70.0%	70	73.6%	43	4.8%	86	Randolph
Richmond	46,564	34,567	23,539	9,639	27.9%	42.6%	85	44.9%	91	41.8%	42	\$28,830	85	69.2%	74	78.3%	23	1.7%	42	Richmond
Robeson	123,339	87,514	31,734	20,672	23.6%	36.0%	96	43.4%	93	36.6%	71	\$28,202	91	64.9%	97	80.8%	12	3.6%	76	Robeson
Rockingham	91,928	70,453	55,524	13,098	18.6%	46.9%	60	49.0%	74	43.4%	35	\$33,784	53	68.9%	77	76.4%	34	2.2%	55	Rockingham
Rowan	130,340	98,165	80,191	14,376	14.6%	47.1%	59	50.2%	67	38.9%	59	\$37,494	32	74.2%	44	72.8%	51	3.1%	69	Rowan
Rutherford	62,899	47,939	42,273	4,899	10.2%	46.2%	69	47.9%	80	35.6%	81	\$31,122	69	70.4%	67	76.1%	36	0.9%	20	Rutherford
Sampson	60,161	44,633	27,745	12,864	28.8%	43.2%	83	49.1%	73	41.4%	44	\$31,793	65	69.1%	76	78.4%	22	5.8%	92	Sampson
Scotland	35,998	25,881	14,328	8,954	34.6%	36.0%	97	41.2%	95	35.6%	80	\$31,010	71	71.4%	60	71.9%	53	0.7%	10	Scotland
Stanly	58,100	43,579	37,735	4,593	10.5%	52.6%	32	55.6%	35	40.3%	51	\$36,898	36	73.4%	47	79.4%	17	1.9%	47	Stanly
Stokes	44,711	33,761	31,640	1,574	4.7%	53.2%	25	54.0%	43	47.5%	11	\$38,808	25	73.2%	49	76.2%	35	1.1%	28	Stokes
Surry	71,219	54,439	49,981	2,135	3.9%	44.7%	79	46.8%	86	34.8%	84	\$33,046	57	67.0%	88	73.3%	45	4.4%	83	Surry
Swain	12,968	9,818	6,934	167	1.7%	45.2%	76	57.1%	24	10.2%	98	\$28,608	88	70.5%	66	73.0%	47	0.5%	6	Swain
Transylvania	29,334	23,362	22,126	855	3.7%	61.6%	2	62.9%	2	44.7%	26	\$38,587	28	82.5%	12	56.4%	85	1.0%	24	Transylvan
Tyrrell	4,149	3,209	1,860	1,231	38.4%	48.8%	51	58.0%	18	39.2%	58	\$25,684	99	66.3%	89	77.1%	31	3.2%	72	Tyrrell
Union	123,677	88,923	75,038	10,226	11.5%	54.7%	18	58.1%	16	43.7%	33	\$50,638	2	80.2%	20	61.2%	78	4.5%	85	Union
Vance	42,954	31,330	16,250	14,131	45.1%	38.7%	93	43.3%	94	35.1%	83	\$31,301	67	68.1%	84	80.4%	14	2.8%	64	Vance
Wake	627,846	470,249	347,806	87,714	18.7%	55.7%	12	61.5%	4	46.1%	20	\$54,988	1	89.3%	1	47.1%	91	7.0%	96	Wake
Warren	19,972	15,271	6,397	7,931	51.9%	45.2%	75	47.4%	81	45.4%	22	\$28,351	90	67.5%	87	75.8%	37	1.8%	44	Warren
Washington	13,723	10,156	5,331	4,560	44.9%	51.8%	35	58.3%	14	46.7%	15	\$28,865	84	69.9%	71	83.6%	4	0.9%	19	Washington
Watauga	42,695	35,739	34,528	581	1.6%	54.9%	17	55.9%	33	31.8%	87	\$32,611	60	81.6%	18	62.5%	75	1.4%	34	Watauga
Wayne	113,329	83,687	53,362	26,120	31.2%	41.8%	90	47.3%	82	35.7%	79	\$33,942	51	77.2%	31	67.9%	62	2.9%	68	Wayne
Wilkes	65,632	50,816	47,507	2,045	4.0%	50.1%	44	51.6%	58	39.4%	56	\$34,258	49	66.0%	91	82.3%	10	2.4%	58	Wilkes
Wilson	73,814	54,947	32,591	19,966	36.3%	46.8%	62	53.8%	44	40.2%	53	\$33,116	56	69.4%	72	80.3%	15	3.9%	82	Wilson
Yadkin	36,348	27,640	25,818	928	3.4%	51.1%	39	53.2%	49	36.3%	73	\$36,660	38	72.0%	55	80.2%	16	3.8%	78	Yadkin
Yancey	17,774	13,998	13,749	78	0.6%	64.8%	1	65.5%	1	59.0%	1	\$29,674	81	71.1%	62	75.4%	40	2.2%	54	Yancey
NC Total	8,049,313	6085266	4527155	1218470	20.0%	48.6%		52.9%		41.9%										NC Total

Notes: *Population* from 2000 US Census. *Voting-Age Population* is number of residents of age 18 and up, total and by race and percent of total who are Black. *Percent of VAP Who Voted in 2000* is the portion of Voting-Age Adults of specified race who voted in Nov. 2000, based on records with State Board of Elections. Counties are ranked 1 to 100, with 1=largest percent of VAP voting. *Household Income* is median for county in 1999. *Adults with High School Degree* is adults age 25 and up who graduated or have equivalent of H.S. degree. *Born in N.C.* is percent of total population born in North Carolina. *Not a U.S. Citizen* is percent of population without U.S. citizenship.